

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Restriction has been required between two sets of claims, namely Group I, Claims 4-11 and 17 and Group II, Claims 12-16 and 18. Group I including Claims 4-11 and 17 are hereby elected With Traverse.

The Requirement has failed to comply with M.P.E.P. § 808.02 in alleging a burden. Therein it states "the Examiner must show by appropriate explanation one of the following: (A) separate classification thereof ... (B) a separate status in the art when they are classifiable together ... (C) a different field of search ..." No discussion of any of these factors is found within the Requirement.

Accordingly it is respectfully requested that the Restriction Requirement be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Eckhard H. Kuesters
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 28,870

Michael L. Gellner
Registration No. 27,256

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)

I:\ATTY\MLG\RESPONSE\286235US-RR.DOC